

IAEA RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations

TATJANA JEVREMOVIC Nuclear Power Technology Development Section

Regional Workshop on Technology Assessment of SMRs VIC, Vienna, 10–14 June 2019

10:45	IAEA RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations [IAEA NP-T-1.10, Pg. 29]	Ms Tatjana Jevremovic IAEA	Tuesday 11 Jun 2019	
	Case Study	Teams		

• What is Site?

OUTLINE

- RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations
- RTA TABLE FOR KEY ELEMENT 1
 - How to complete?
 - Examples

10:45	IAEA RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations [IAEA NP-T-1.10, Pg. 29]	Ms Tatjana Jevremovic IAEA	Tuesday 11
	Case Study	Teams	

- What is Site?
- OUTLINE

RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations

Jun 2019

- **RTA TABLE FOR KEY ELEMENT 1**
 - How to complete?
 - **Examples**

NPP Site Selection



In the evaluation of the suitability of a site for a nuclear installation, the following aspects shall be considered:

- 1. Effects of external events occurring in the region of the particular site (natural or human induced).
- 2. Characteristics of the site and its environment which could influence the transfer of released radioactive material to persons.
- Population density and distribution and other characteristics of the external zone in relation to the possibility of implementing emergency measures and the need to evaluate the risk to individuals and the population.



Retasland has the site selected for SMR



NPP PARAMETER ENVELOPE (PPE)



- At the beginning of the siting project, the type of NPP is not usually determined \rightarrow requiring to:
- Establish a Plant Parameter Envelope (PPE) for the NPP [captured by RTA Key Elements] such as:
 - a) Estimate of the required amount of electricity
 - b) Site characteristics that are required to support the safe and secure operation of a nuclear plant (e.g. availability of cooling water, ambient air temperature, etc.) and meet national obligations and commitment with regard to non-proliferation and safeguards
 - c) Capability of the NPP to withstand the natural and human-made environmental hazards associated with the site (e.g. earthquake, tornado, potential floods from nearby dams, snow load, rainfall, etc.) with indications of how costs vary with increasing hazard size
 - d) More than one unit might be possible on the site?
 - e) Land requirements (building height, deepest foundations, area)
 - f) Impact of the nuclear plant on the site's natural and environmental resources (e.g. potential increases in water and air temperatures, water use, gaseous and liquid releases of radioactive material)

10:45	IAEA RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations [IAEA NP-T-1.10, Pg. 29]	Tuesday 11 Jun 2019						
	Case Study	Teams	IAEA					
Щ	 What is Site? 							
UTLINE	RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations							
UO	 RTA TABLE FOR KE How to complete 		F 1					

- **RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations**
- **RTA TABLE FOR KEY ELEMENT 1**
 - How to complete?
 - Examples

IAEA NP-T-1.10, Pg. 29

RTA Key element 1: Site Specific Considerations



Site specific parameters affecting the plant design:

- Importance factor range suggested:
 High for WCRs/High to Medium for SMRs
- <u>Examine</u>: Interaction between site characteristics and the features of the proposed design may be strong differentiators:
 - For example, design features that have been included in the standard NPP for external events (seismic, tsunami, sand storms, human-induced hazards)
- External events that could cause a loss of function of systems required for the long term removal of heat from the core <u>shall be evaluated.</u>

RTA Key element 1: Site Specific Considerations



Site specific parameters affecting the plant design:

- Evaluation expectations and relative comparisons: Ensure that each technology holder's plant design and site configuration and characteristics are consistent with the site specific characteristics
 - Site parameters should be compared with the site parameters envelope offered for the proposed (standard) NPP
 - Technology evaluation and relative comparisons is to ensure that each NPP design and site configuration and characteristics are consistent with the site specific characteristics

10:45	IAEA RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations [IAEA NP-T-1.10, Pg. 29]	Ms Tatjana Jevremovic IAEA	Tuesday 11 Jun 2019
	Case Study	Teams	

What is Site?

OUTLINE

- RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations
- RTA TABLE FOR KEY ELEMENT 1
 - How to complete?
 - Examples

External Natural Hazards



- Seismic Hazard Ground motion, earthquake effects, liquefaction, dynamic compaction...
- Geological & Geotechnical settlement, landslide, faults, ground heave, miming, groundwater, bearing capacity, volcano activity....
- Volcanic Hazard Ballistic projectiles, ash, lava flow...





External Natural Hazards

Flooding - high water, tsunami, seiche, precipitation, dam failures,.....

 Meteorological - high wind, extreme temperatures, snow, hail, frost, subsurface freezing, drought, sandstorms, lightening, humidity, hurricanes and tornadoes,



External Natural Hazards



- Biological biological fouling, seaweed, marine growth,
- Combination of hazards Earthquake and flood; earthquake and depletion of reservoir; earthquake and damage to nearby hazardous facilities; high winds and flood, etc.





By Janet Loehrke, USA TODAY

The Fukushima nuclear facilities were damaged by an earthquake and the effects of a significant tsunami



Example: Seismic Hazard Effects *I* Nucleonia?











Examples of External Human Induced Hazards

 \mathcal{I} Nucleonia?

- Aircraft crashes,
- Explosions,
- Release of hazardous gases, radioactive material, and corrosive gases and liquids,
- Fire,
- Collision of ships and floating debris with water intakes and ultimate heat sink (UHS),
- Collision of vehicle with SSCs,
- Electromagnetic interference













Example: Aircraft Crash Hazard *I* Nucleonia?

- □ Impact considerations from an aircraft crash hazards:
 - Global structural damage of the affected structures, including excessive structural deformations or displacements which prevent the structure from performing its function;
 - Functional failure of SSCs due to induced vibrations in structural members and safety related equipment;
 - Localized structural damage due to the effects of missile impact, including penetration, perforation, scabbing and spalling ('local effects'); and
 - The effects of fuel initiated fires and possibly explosion on SSCs.

<complex-block>

Detailed Evaluation

- Probability should be determined for each class of aircraft (small, medium and large civil and military aircraft) using the aircraft crash statistics
- The estimated probability of an aircraft crash affecting the plant may be determined in terms of crashes per year per unit area multiplied by an effective area for damage to safety items
- The size of the effective area depends on:
 - > the average angle of the trajectory relative to the horizontal;
 - > the plan areas of the relevant structures and their heights;
 - > other areas relating to items important to safety;
 - size of the aircraft



	IAEA RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations [IAEA NP-T-1.10, Pg. 29]	Ms Tatjana Jevremovic IAEA	Tuesday 11 Jun 2019
	Case Study	Teams	I

What is Site?

• RTA Key Element 1: Site Specific Considerations

• RTA TABLE FOR KEY ELEMENT 1

- How to complete?
- Examples



Key eler	%					
7 Key topics	%	Rationale for percentage	HTR-PM	NuScale	SMART	Rationale for score
Ambient site environmental conditions and ecology						
Heat sink temperature, condenser cooling water source						
Magnitude and frequency of all external events						
Site size requirements, population and environment						
Transportation routes/facilities and access to required infrastructure						
Site development and preparation requirements						
Site structure plan; single- or multi-unit site requirements						

% Rationale for percentage Rationale for score Represents the importance of the key topic Requires explanation for quantified importance Requires explanation of the scoring range:

- 5 High achievement of criteria
- 3 Medium achievement of criteria
- 1 Low or no achievement of criteria, or no information available

%

Key Element 1: Retasland site specific considerations

(Suggested High to Medium Importance)

Key topics	%	Rationale for percentage	HTR-PM	NuScale	SMART	Rationale for score
Ambient site environmental conditions and ecology		 Seasonal temperature variation and wind conditions Earthquakes (up to 0.12 g) 				
Heat sink temperature, condenser cooling water source		 Strict requirement for temperature at exhaust (27 C) River used as heat sink 				
Magnitude and frequency of all external events		 No major airline flights over the site No volcanos Sand storms 				
Site size requirements, population and environment		 5 km x 5 km (25000000 m²) 100000 population area 10 km from the site 				

%

Key Element 1: Retasland site specific considerations

(Suggested High to Medium Importance)

Key topics	%	Rationale for percentage	HTR-PM	NuScale	SMART	Rationale for score
Ambient site environmental conditions and ecology	20	 Seasonal temperature variation and wind conditions Earthquakes (up to 0.12 g) 				
Heat sink temperature, condenser cooling water source	20	 Strict requirement for temperature at exhaust (27 C) River used as heat sink 				
Magnitude and frequency of all external events	5	 No major airline flights over the site Sand storms No volcanos 				
Site size requirements, population and environment	10	 5 km x 5 km (25000000 m²) 100000 population area 10 km from the site 				

Key Element 1: Retasland site specific considerations (Suggested High to Medium Importance)

Key topics	%	Rationale for percentage	HTR-PM	NuScale	SMART	Rationale for score
Ambient site environmental conditions and ecology	15	 Seasonal temperature variation and wind conditions Earthquakes (up to 0.12 g) 				 Greatly exceeds seismic design requirements. Meets seismic design requirements, to a lesser degree. Is at the seismic design requirement limit. Considers seismic design, but information is limited or inconsistent with other designs. Does not meet seismic design requirements.
Heat sink temperature, condenser cooling water source	20	 Strict requirement for temperature at exhaust (27 C) River used as heat sink 				 5. Exhaust temperatures have no foreseeable effects on river temperature and aquatic life. 4. Exhaust temperatures are at the required level, including record high temperature conditions with substantial margin. 3. Exhaust temperatures meet the requirements, including the current record high temperatures. 2. Exhaust temperatures render the plant inoperable only during record highs. 1. Exhaust temperatures render the plant inoperable for long periods of time, or no information is provided.
Magnitude and frequency of all external events	10	 No major airline flights over the site No volcanos Sand storms 				 5. Considers all noted external events including sand storms (design proven in sand storm areas). 3. Contains features which offer some protection from noted external events; design takes into account sand storms but no operational experience. 1. No information provided or no systems designed indicating protection from noted external events.
Site size requirements, population and environment	10	 5 km x 5 km (25000000 m²) 100000 population area 10 km from the site 				 5. Plant size significant smaller than others meeting site size requirements. 4. Plant size significantly below site size requirement. 3. Plant size fits within site. 2. Plant size exceeds the site area but can be solved through minor land purchases or allocation. 1. Plant size exceeds the site area beyond solution.



%

L

Key Element 1: Retasland site specific considerations (Suggested High to Medium Importance)

Key topics	%	Rationale for percentage	HTR-PM	NuScale	SMART	Rationale for score			
Ambient site environmental conditions and	15	Seasonal temperature variation and wind conditions		5 (0.5g)	2 (>0.18g shutdown)	 Greatly exceeds seismic design requirements. Meets seismic design requirements, to a lesser degree. Is at the seismic design requirement limit. Considers seismic design, but information is limited or 			
ecology		• Earthquakes (up to 0.12 g)	Asl	k the vendor: question	us 1, 2	inconsistent with other designs. 1. Does not meet seismic design requirements.			
Heat sink temperature, condenser cooling water source	20	20	20	• Strict requirement for temperature at exhaust		1 (no info)	1 (no info)	 5. Exhaust temperatures have no foreseeable effects on river temperature and aquatic life. 4. Exhaust temperatures are at the required level, including record high temperature conditions with substantial margin. 3. Exhaust temperatures meet the requirements, including the substantial margin. 	
		(27 C)River used as heat sink	Ask the vendor: question 3			current record high temperatures. 2. Exhaust temperatures render the plant inoperable only during record highs. 1. Exhaust temperatures render the plant inoperable for long periods of time, or no information is provided.			
Magnitude and frequency of all 10 external events	10	10	10	10	 No major airline flights over the site Sand storms 		5 (underground, airplane crash considered)	1 (no info)	 Considers all noted external events including sand storms (design proven in sand storm areas). Contains features which offer some protection from noted external events; design takes into account sand storms but no operational experience.
		No volcanos	А	sk the vendor: questio	on 4	 No information provided or no systems designed indicating protection from noted external events. 			
Site size requirements, population and environment	10	 5 km x 5 km (25000000 m²) 100000 population area 10 km from the site 		4 (140000 m ²)	5 (90000 m ²)				





%

Key Element 1: Retasland site specific considerations (Suggested High to Medium Importance)

Key topics	%	Rationale for percentage	HTR-PM	NuScale	SMART	Rationale for score
Ambient site environmental conditions and	15	 Seasonal temperature variation and wind conditions Earthquakes (up to 		5 (0.5g)	2 (>0.18g shutdown)	 Greatly exceeds seismic design requirements. Meets seismic design requirements, to a lesser degree. Is at the seismic design requirement limit. Considers seismic design, but information is limited or
ecology				sk the vendor: questions 1, 2		inconsistent with other designs. 1. Does not meet seismic design requirements.

(1) All: What effect will drastically different seasonal weather conditions have in regard to maintenance (additional or increased frequency) and operability of the plant?

- Site climate is characterized with a strong wind blowing east-west 6 months in a year; the winter is characterized with heavy snow and ice; summers are hot and humid
- (2) SMART: The design information also noted that automatic shutdown occurs on detection of 0.18 g seismic events. Please provide additional information for the resistance of the plant to damage from seismic events.
 - Site parameter limiting value of a peak ground acceleration is 0.12 g. This value was obtained as an average of historical data over the last 200 years.





%

Key Element 1: Retasland site specific considerations (Suggested High to Medium Importance)

Key topics	%	Rationale for percentage	HTR-PM	NuScale	SMART	Rationale for score
Heat sink temperature, 20		• Strict requirement for temperature at exhaust		1 (no info)	1 (no info)	 5. Exhaust temperatures have no foreseeable effects on river temperature and aquatic life. 4. Exhaust temperatures are at the required level, including record high temperature conditions with substantial margin. 3. Exhaust temperatures meet the requirements, including the
condenser cooling water source		(27 C)River used as heat sink	A	sk the vendor: questic	on 3	 current record high temperatures. 2. Exhaust temperatures render the plant inoperable only during record highs. 1. Exhaust temperatures render the plant inoperable for long periods of time, or no information is provided.

(3) All: Strict heat sink exhaust requirements are in place. Please provide information regarding exhaust from condenser cooling to the water source, for both averages and record heat sink temperatures.

- \circ Reta river is the only source of water to be available for the site
- \circ $\;$ There are some fish species that show sensitivity to high water temperature
- Reta river can be used as a heat sink if its temperature at the plant's exhaust is not increased above 27 C; historical data show that the average river water temperature is between 23 C and 30 C, with record high of 35 C and record lows of 15 C



%

Key Element 1: Retasland site specific considerations (Suggested High to Medium Importance)

Key topics	%	Rationale for percentage	HTR-PM	NuScale	SMART	Rationale for score
Magnitude and frequency of all external events	10	 No major airline flights over the site Sand storms No volcanos 		3 (underground, airplane crash considered, no info on sand storms)	1 (no info)	 5. Considers all noted external events including sand storms (design proven in sand storm areas). 3. Contains features which offer some protection from noted external events; design takes into account sand storms but no operational experience. 1. No information provided or no systems designed indicating
			Ask the vendor: question 4			protection from noted external events.

(4) All: What considerations are made for protection from sand storms?

 Site is exposed to frequent sandstorms occurring in the summer, carrying sand from the north desert to the Northern region of the country. The sandstorms can reach heights of approximately 20 m with speeds of at least 45 km/hour.



Case Study Toolkit

Teams



Thank you!

